IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 11594 of 2004

Between:

1 Joseph Sriharsha & Mary Indraja Educational Society, plot No.102, High court colony,Vanasthalipuram, Hyd.
2 St.Mary's College of Engineering and Technology Deshmukhi, Pochampally Mandal, Nalgonda Dist.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The All India Council for Technical Education,

I.P.Estate, Indira Gandi sports Complex,New Delhi.
2 Prof.R.Natarajan,
AICTE,New Delhi.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to declare the action of the 1st respondent in rejecting the appeal of the petitioners in regard to grant of approval for additional courses/variation in intake/increase in intake on and from the academic year 2004-2005 vide impugned proceeding No.730-50-371(E)ET/2001 dt.26-6-2004 as arbitrary and illegal, discriminatory offending Article 14 of the Constitution of India, by way of issuance of a writ, order or direction, one more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus and consequently direct the 1st respondent to grant approval for additional courses/variation in intake

and increase in intake to the extent of 240 seats in the 2nd petitioner College in Bio Technology, Civil Engineering, MBA with an intake of 60 seats each and increase from 60 to 120 seats in Computer science Engineering w.e.f. the academic year 2004-2005 at par with all other colleges.

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.RAMESH RANGANADH for Mr.B.VIJAY BHASKAR, ADVOCATE

For the Respondent No.1: MR.S.S.PRASAD, Standing Counsel for AICTE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and also Standing Counsel for the first respondent. Both of them agreed to delete the name of the second respondent as a party to the writ petition from the cause title and to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage.

Mr. Ramesh Ranganadhan, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners' application No.1313, dated 27-09-2001 and also the representation dated 05-07-2004 may be directed to be considered and disposed of by the respondent afresh in the light of the various orders passed by this Court as mentioned in the representation dated 05-07-2004.

Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent fairly concedes that the application of the petitioners will be considered and necessary orders will be passed in the light of the various orders passed by this Court.

Since both the counsel agreed for disposal of the above mentioned application, there shall be a direction to the respondent to consider the application dated 27-09-2001 and representation dated 05-07-2004 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the order passed on such representation to the petitioners.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

22nd JULY, 2004.

Note: furnish c.c. in two days.

B/o

Kvni

To

1 The Member Secretary, All India Council for Technical Education,

I.P.Estate, Indira Gandi sports Complex,New Delhi.

2 The Chairman, AICTE, New Delhi.

3 Two CD copies.